



THE CANADIAN
BAR ASSOCIATION
L'ASSOCIATION DU
BARREAU CANADIEN

Annual T3010 Information Return and T4033 Guide for Registered Charities

**NATIONAL CHARITIES AND NOT-FOR-PROFIT LAW SECTION
CANADIAN BAR ASSOCIATION**

March 2011

PREFACE

The Canadian Bar Association is a national association representing 37,000 jurists, including lawyers, notaries, law teachers and students across Canada. The Association's primary objectives include improvement in the law and in the administration of justice.

This submission was prepared by the National Charities and Not-For-Profit Law Section of the Canadian Bar Association, with assistance from the Legislation and Law Reform Directorate at the National Office. The submission has been reviewed by the Legislation and Law Reform Committee and approved as a public statement of the National Charities and Not-For-Profit Law Section of the Canadian Bar Association.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Annual T3010 Information Return and T4033 Guide for Registered Charities

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	LEGISLATIVE BASIS FOR THE T3010 RETURN	2
	A. Dual Purpose	2
	B. Due Dispatch.....	6
	C. Additional Information to be Provided by CRA.....	8
	D. Changes in Activities or Programs.....	8
	E. Specific Comments	8
III.	CBA SECTION'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE T3010 RETURN AND T4033 GUIDE	13
IV.	CONCLUSION	15

Annual T3010 Information Return and T4033 Guide for Registered Charities

I. INTRODUCTION

This submission is made by the Charities and Not-For-Profit Law Section of the Canadian Bar Association (the CBA Section). The CBA Section deals with law and practice relating to the regulation and administration of charities and not-for-profit organizations in Canada.

For the reasons set out below, the CBA Section recommends that the T3010 form (Registered Charity Information Return) should be clarified. Some of the terminology should be made more consistent. The basis for the requirement to disclose certain information should be reexamined. Finally, policies behind some of the disclosure required should be reconsidered¹.

In many cases the T3010 form is completed by non-professionals, who may be volunteers acting for the charity, or by professionals not trained to deal with the intricacies of the *Income Tax Act*, particularly the disbursement quota and other detailed rules that apply to registered charities. The form should be as simple and straightforward as possible. Policy reasons for disclosure should be made clear.

The CBA Section made submissions about an earlier version of T3010 in June 2006. In April 2010, representatives of the CBA Section met with the Charities Directorate to discuss issues related to the review of the T3010B return that was then underway. The new version of the return, T3010-1, was released in November 2010 and in January 2011, the revised guide T4033-1, was released. The T3010-1 and T4033-1 reflect the changes announced in the March 2010 federal budget (the “2010 Budget”) with respect to the disbursement quota.

¹ The CBA Section understands that no changes will be made to the current version of T3010 until there is another comprehensive review, but changes may be made to T4033-1.

This submission was prepared based on T3010B and T4033B, but now reflects T3010-1 and T4033-1².

The CBA Section supports this review process and the Directorate's work to date. This letter sets out the CBA Section's formal comments concerning some of the issues that are relevant to that review.

As a result of the 2010 Budget, some information previously required will no longer be necessary. When the T3010-1 was released in November 2010, CRA stated that it should be filed by registered charities for fiscal periods ending on and after December 1, 2010. This was changed in a release issuing T-4033-1 on January 18, 2011, stating that charities with fiscal periods ending from March 23, 2004 to December 31, 2008 should use T3010A (05) and guide T4033A, charities with fiscal periods ending from July 1, 2009 to March 3, 2010 inclusive should use T3010B and T4033B and T3010-1 and T4033-1 should be used for fiscal periods ending on or after March 4, 2010.

The CBA Section believes that, in addition to the administrative changes that CRA has announced, other more fundamental changes should be incorporated in the T3010.

II. LEGISLATIVE BASIS FOR THE T3010 RETURN

A. Dual Purpose

Subsection 149.1(14) of the *Income Tax Act* provides for filing both an "information return" and a "public information return". This distinction is repeated in subsection 189(6.1), for the returns a charity must file after its registration is revoked. Each return must contain information in prescribed form.³ The two concepts of the information return and the public information return have been combined into a single return, currently the T3010-1 for fiscal years ending on or after March 4, 2010 and T3010B or T3010A(05) for previous fiscal years.⁴

² The submission therefore sometimes refers to the T3010 generically, rather than by its suffix.

³ Under subsection 248(1), "prescribed" means in the case of a form, the information to be given on a form or the manner of filing a form, authorized by the Minister. In some cases, although the ITA contemplates that a form will be prescribed, no form is in fact prescribed.

⁴ We assume that form T3010 has been authorized pursuant to regulation as both the information return and the public information return for purposes of subsection 149.1(14). In T4033, CRA states that "an information return" includes forms T3010, TF725, T1235, T1236 and a copy of the financial statements. It appears that this all refers to the information return and not the public information return, but this is not clear.

Through use of the combined return, the distinction between the two functions has been blurred and there is ambiguity in how information will be treated. The CBA Section understands the information return and the public information return were combined into a single return for simplicity.⁵ If the dual nature is to be maintained, the CBA Section recommends that the return clearly identify and communicate to the sector and the public those sections required for compliance and those required for public information.

If CRA uses the T3010 return to fulfill both purposes, it should identify the portions that are part of the "public information return" and those that relate to the "information return." It should also explain the basis on which it requests the information. A review of how the two types of return are distinguished in the *Income Tax Act* is instructive. The first difference relates to public disclosure of information. Under subsection 149.1(15), notwithstanding section 241, information in a public information return shall be communicated or otherwise made available to the public by the Minister in the manner deemed appropriate. The intent seems to be for at least some information collected on the T3010 return to be disclosed to the public. Referring to the information in the return rather than listing it in the *Income Tax Act* provides some flexibility. This avoids any requirement to amend the *Income Tax Act* if the information changes. However, it also creates uncertainty.

In addition to the information in the public information return, under subsection 241(3.2), CRA can provide to the public, among other things:

- a copy of the charity's governing documents;
- any information provided in the prescribed application for registration;
- the names of the directors and when they held their respective positions;
- a copy of the notice of registration (including conditions and warnings);
- a copy of any letter sent to a charity whose registration has been revoked or annulled, dealing with the grounds for revocation or annulment;
- a copy of any letter or notice sent to a charity or relating to suspension under section 188.2 or any assessment of tax or penalty; and

⁵ There appears to be some precedent for a dual-purpose return. For instance, the T3 income tax return that must be filed by a trust under ITA paragraph 150(l)(c) also serves as an information return for purposes of regulation 204. However, there is no element of public disclosure and the sole objective is to assist CRA in monitoring compliance.

- an application by the charity, and information filed in support, for a designation, determination or decision by the Minister under subsections 149.1(6.3), (7), (8) or (13).⁶

Further, paragraph 241(3.2)(f) allows CRA to provide any financial statements required to be filed with an information return under subsection 149.1(14).

The definition of specified gift in section 149.1 required the amount in question to be designated as a specified gift in the "information return" for the year. This was presumably the information return, not the public information return, contemplated in subsection 149.1(14). The definition of capital gains pool provided that the amount in question must be declared by the charity in "an information return under subsection (14)". It was not clear if this provision referred to the information return, the public information return or both. Both issues are resolved with the changes in the 2010 Budget, but there is still uncertainty in other respects.

The second difference relates to enforcement. Paragraph 168(l)(c) states that a registered charity may face revocation if it fails to file an information return required under the *Income Tax Act* or a regulation. Some argue that the reference to an information return includes a public information return. The CBA Section does not think this is (or should be) the case, given the distinctions noted above and the wording in the provisions imposing interim sanctions.

Subsection 188.1(6), imposes a penalty if the charity fails to file an "information return" as required by subsection 149.1(14). While the *Income Tax Act* is not clear, it seems reasonable to conclude (based on the *Act* and CRA's audit and administrative practices) that this is intended to compel disclosure of the information CRA requires to perform its regulatory role. This would not necessarily be disclosed to the public and the consequences of failing to file that return would be serious. Since the main purpose of a public information return is to inform the public, the consequences of not filing should be less severe. However, CRA takes the position that failure to file either return can result in a penalty.⁷ According to the *Income Tax Act*, an

⁶ This list would also include subsection 149.1(5) for requests to treat amounts as deemed expenditures under draft legislative proposed by Finance Canada in 2005 and again in July 2010, for documents sent by the Minister or filed or required to be filed, after May 13, 2005.

⁷ See CRA guides T4033B and T4033-1.

"information return" is not the same as a "public information return"⁸ and failure to file the latter should not be grounds for revocation.

However, by combining the information return and the public information return in one T3010 form, it is unclear what constitutes a failure to file. If the one return has a dual purpose, it seems that failure to file the physical return, whether the information return or the public information return or both, constitutes failure to file an "information return" or a "return".⁹ Failure to complete one part of the return while completing the other part creates uncertainty about whether a return has been filed. At a minimum, if the T3010 continues to serve a dual purpose and is considered to be both the information return and the public information return contemplated in subsection 149.1(14) of the *Income Tax Act* (or CRA through its administrative policies) should make it clear whether failure to complete the public information portion of the return would be grounds for revocation or a penalty. This is discussed further below.

CRA seems to have begun to use the returns at least in part to obtain information solely to monitor compliance, and not to provide information to the public. However, the return does not set out the purpose for which the requested information is required, and does not segregate the types of information. Charities are therefore uncertain about the consequences that flow from providing (or failing to provide) information in the T3010 return.

The Federal Court of Appeal recently upheld a penalty assessed against a non-resident corporation for failure to file a T2 return, despite the fact that no tax was payable.¹⁰ The Tax Court judge had rejected an argument that the T2 return performed a dual function, stating that if the government intended to treat the non-resident income tax return as an information return subject to penalties under subsection 162(7), more direct and unambiguous language should have been used. The Federal Court of Appeal held that the tax return filed when no tax is payable is an information return, since it could have no other function. This did not alter its character as a tax return and therefore the requirement to file a return did not apply.

⁸ Otherwise, the word "public" is superfluous.

⁹ T4033B and T4033-1 states that registered charities have to file "an information return" each year, without distinguishing between the information return and the public information return in subsection 149.1(14). It also states that a charity that does not file "its return" can lose its registered status.

¹⁰ *Exida.com Limited Liability Company v The Queen*, 2010 FCA 159.

Nevertheless, the Court of Appeal held that the taxpayer was liable for a penalty under subsection 162(7), for failure to comply with an obligation imposed by the *Income Tax Act* or regulations, without expressly finding that there had been a failure to file an information return. This uncertainty should be avoided as it relates to the dual role of the T3010B return.

If the focus of a particular section is compliance, the return and the guide should clearly identify the regulatory purpose for which the information is sought (e.g. disbursement quota calculation, political activities, related business activities, undue benefit). There should be more information in the guide about the purpose and the substance of these compliance issues and the reason for each question. Possibly, links could be provided to related explanations on the CRA website on the more complicated compliance issues.

T4033B and T4033-1 state that “most” of the return, and all financial statements filed with it, are available to the public. Confidential data is marked as such and includes the information in Section F, Schedule 4, part of Form T1235 and Form RC232-WS. They also state that some confidential information is collected on Form T2081, dealing with excess corporate holdings.

In addition to the information in T3010-1, CRA may have to deal with compliance under proposed Bill C-470 requiring disclosure of compensation for employees and executives of registered charities. If Bill C-470 is enacted as currently proposed, CRA will be required to develop a mechanism to collect the required information or adapt the T3010-1 and schedules (such as Schedule 5) for that purpose.

B. Due Dispatch

The CBA Section recommends that a reasonable time limit be imposed on CRA to use information in the T3010 return for assessing or other compliance purposes.

A time limit could be imposed through amendments to the *Income Tax Act* or otherwise. This would be consistent with current limits to CRA’s ability to issue reassessments (absent misrepresentation or fraud or similar circumstances) after a reasonable time and to deal with returns with due dispatch in the first instance. Under subsection 189(8), certain provisions in Part I of the *Income Tax Act* apply to registered charities under Part V. These include the rules dealing with assessments, particularly section 152. Subsection 152(1) provides that the Minister shall, with due dispatch, examine a return of income and assess the tax, interest and

penalties, if any, payable and make certain other determinations. However, these rules apply only for purposes of an amount assessed under Part V or a notice of suspension. There is no obligation on the Minister to do anything with the information return or public information return required under subsection 149.1(14). So although there is a requirement to file the T3010 return under Part I, filing does not result in any assessment under Part I.

Similarly, where an assessment is issued under Part V for a penalty under subsection 188.1(6) for failure to file a return, the rules in Part I for the timing of subsequent reassessments apply. However, this does not address the issue of timely review of information in the T3010 return.

Charities should not face an unlimited timeframe for review of information they provide for compliance purposes, with potentially unlimited exposure to revocation, penalties, or other intermediate sanctions based on the information. If the information in the return is only for disclosure to the public, and the status of the charity under the *Income Tax Act* is not directly affected, when the information is reviewed is not of the same significance. However, this further illustrates the need to differentiate between the "public" and "compliance" information in the return. This could perhaps be accomplished by dividing the return into separate components, each clearly identified, with the purpose of the information and any limits on the timeframe within which it can be reviewed by CRA clearly identified.

While the CBA Section recognizes the utility of streamlining the return (or returns) and lessening the compliance burden on charities, it believes there is a danger that charities will not understand the purpose for which information is being sought, the nature of the sanctions that might be imposed on them (including revocation) and other technical requirements.

The CBA Section previously pointed out to both CRA and Finance Canada its concerns about the complexity of the disbursement quota rules and the inability of charities and their advisors to comprehend them and comply, particularly in the context of the disclosure required in the current T3010.¹¹ This is addressed in the 2010 Budget with a simpler approach to the disbursement quota, as set out in T3010-1 and T4033-1.

¹¹ The fact that the return consists of four pages and up to six schedules and the guide consists of 25 pages shows how complicated the reporting process has become.

C. Additional Information from CRA

The CBA Section recommends that CRA provide, either on its website or in the material sent to the charity with the return, the information it has on file about the charity's objects. Charities, CRA and the public would then have the same information on the intended (and approved) purposes of the organization. This would be particularly useful given the high turnover among volunteers and staff of some organizations, as well as accountants who assist in the preparation of their returns. In addition, CRA would have more confidence that the charity's responses are based on a correct understanding of the facts.

D. Changes in Activities or Programs

The CBA Section questions CRA's view that charities must advise of any new activities or programs not expressly approved on initial registration. In some circumstances it may be prudent for an organization without advice from a professional to seek CRA's views on a proposed program, because it may not be viewed by CRA as charitable. The CBA Section does not believe the *Income Tax Act* imposes this obligation on registered charities. At the very least, if these questions remain, the return should warn about the potential prejudicial impact of answers to the questions.

E. Comments on Specific Parts of the Form

In addition to the general comments, the CBA Section has several specific concerns

1. The new commentary under the heading "Program Areas" at page 9 of T4033-1 requires allocation among various program areas. It is not clear how the charity determines "total time and resources" to be allocated among activities and program areas. For instance, the time spent might be relatively small compared to the resources allocated and vice versa.
2. The opening words of T4033-1 Section C state that, at a certain point, if a charity remains inactive, CRA may take the view that it no longer meets the requirements for registration. The basis for this statement is not clear. It would be helpful for CRA to expand on this (the new description of an "inactive charity" is helpful, stating that during the entire fiscal period, it must not have used any of its resources to carry out its charitable activities or to further the charitable purposes for which it is established) without regard to whether it earned income, had assets or issued tax receipts, as set out in T4033B. The statement at page 12 of T4033-1 that a charity considering new programs should contact CRA implies an obligation rather than a recommendation.

3. Question C2 asks if the charity was active during the period and requires an explanation of why it was not active, if that is the case. It is not clear why CRA requires this information and what the implications are of being inactive or providing (or not providing) an explanation. T4033B and T4033-1 state for question A2 that if operations have terminated, a request for revocation of registration should be submitted. The question presupposes that operations have been commenced and subsequently terminated, but does not address the situation where no operations have ever begun. This is addressed indirectly at question C1, which asks if the charity was active and requires an explanation as to why it was inactive during the relevant period. The guide seems to require a request to have registration revoked, regardless of the circumstances, because the charity is no longer “in operation”, but it is not clear what is meant by “in operation”. CRA should clarify its position on inactive charities, whether they have ever been active or not.
4. Question C3 asks if the charity made gifts or “transferred funds” to qualified donees or other organizations. This is a broad question, since any payment appears to constitute a transfer of funds, whether for valuable consideration or not, and the question appears to include all payments to all suppliers. It is not clear if this is intended or if it is properly targeted. The notes in T4033B and T4033-1 refer only to gifts. They also refer to a situation where the charity “transferred resources to other organizations.” This is again without regard to whether the transfer was for full consideration or in the nature of a gift or grant. Question 2 on Schedule 2 asks if any of the charity’s resources were “provided” for programs outside Canada under any kind of “arrangement” including a contract, agency agreement, or joint venture to another individual or entity. The terminology is inconsistent: question C4 refers to individuals, intermediaries, entities or other means and T4033-1 refers to individuals, entities or intermediaries.
5. Answering “yes” to Question C3 requires completion of form T1236, which deals only with qualified donees, but the question itself asks about transfers that are not necessarily gifts. If this is to identify situations in which registration might be revoked for making a gift to a person other than a qualified donee, it is not properly framed.
6. Question C4 asks if “any resources” were provided for activities outside Canada. This should be coordinated with the guidance on foreign activities. It is not clear why the question focuses on foreign activities rather than resources that involve a third party and an agency, partnership, joint venture or similar arrangement.

7. Questions dealing with fundraising expenditures should be coordinated with the guidance on fundraising.
8. Question C10 asks if the charity received any “donations or gifts”, which suggests a distinction between the two terms. Question C11 refers to “gifts” and question D3 to “donations”. A single term should be used for consistency throughout the form, and the technical changes dealing with gifts in proposed subsection 248(30) should be considered.
9. It will be impossible in many situations for a charity to determine whether a donor is a Canadian citizen, employed in Canada, carrying on a business in Canada or has disposed of taxable Canadian property, as required by question C10. This requires an inordinately high level of due diligence by the charity and could adversely affect fundraising efforts if donors perceive it to be intrusive. Many charities are unaware of whether a donor is a resident of Canada. “Resident in Canada” has the technical meaning and does not merely mean having a Canadian address. The residence of a donor is a question of fact and it is often unclear (there is considerable case law on point). A charity will be unable to determine in many cases whether the donor is a resident of Canada. Even if it obtains a statutory declaration or carries out other high level due diligence, it cannot be certain.
10. In T4033B and T4033-1, on Part 2 on Schedule 4, dealing with information about donors not resident in Canada, CRA states that information must be provided about the name of each donor not resident in Canada “unless the donor has met certain legislated criteria,” the value of the donation is \$10,000 or more and the donor is an organization, a government or an individual. T4033-1 no longer states that the *Income Tax Act* offers certain protections for the identity of donors contributing to charity where the donor is a Canadian citizen, employed in Canada, carrying on business in Canada, or has disposed of taxable Canadian property. T4033B and T4033-1 state that to satisfy this obligation, charities should “ensure that they obtain sufficient information to complete this section”. This cannot always be done, for the reasons mentioned.
11. Disclosure of information about donors, whether non-resident or otherwise, could subject them to some risk. This is particularly so with foreign activities, where supporters of what may be perceived to be controversial goals may be identified through the T3010 return and become the target of unwelcome, improper or illegal approaches by third parties. The disclosure of the name of an individual or organization that carries out foreign activities on behalf of the registered charity could also lead to concerns about security.

12. It is not clear why question D2 requires information about whether the charity owns land or buildings.
13. It is not clear why question D4 focuses on total expenditures on travel and vehicles as opposed to other expenditures.
14. Question D4 requires disclosure of the total amount of gifts made to all qualified donees, but does not include other transfers, as contemplated in question 3C. The first line asks for total expenditures on professional and consulting fees. The notes in T4033B on the answer at line 4860 include fundraising as well as legal and accounting fees in the professional and consulting services. This may result in duplication of the amounts disclosed as expenditures on fundraising at question C7, line 5460.
15. Line 4630 requires disclosure of the total non-tax receipted amounts from fundraising, which presumably include amounts raised through sponsorships and other casual collection methods. In schedule 6, line 5020 requires disclosure of total expenditures on fundraising and could be coordinated with the on fundraising guidance.
16. Disclosure of expenditures on fundraising involving regulated gaming activities such as lotteries or 50-50 draws requires further clarity. Reference should be made to the CBA Section's separate letter on the fundraising guidance.
17. Schedule 6, line 4610 requires disclosure of gross income from renting land or buildings and line 4650 requires disclosure of other revenue not already included which, according to T4033B and T4033-1, includes income from rental or leasing of equipment or other resources (other than land or buildings). It is not always clear whether some types of equipment are part of a building or are equipment. It is not clear why there is a breakdown between income from rental of land or buildings (including rental derived from property used in carrying out charitable activities as well as surplus land) and revenue from the rental of equipment (which also may be used in carrying out charitable activities).
18. The last question on Schedule 6, dealing with the value of property not used for charitable activities to calculate the 3.5% for disbursement quota purposes is unclear. It refers to the value of property not used for charitable activities or administration "during" the 24 months before the beginning of the fiscal period and the 24 months before the end of the fiscal period. The word "during" has been interpreted as at any time within a period. As a

result, it is not clear if the “value” is intended to be an average, the highest amount, the lowest or some other amount. The notes in T4033 and T4033-1 indicate that the average value is to be determined for property not used directly in charitable activities or administration during the 24 months. Presumably this means property not used at any time during the 24 months. The notes to both line 5900 and 5910 are unclear as they relate to the number of periods chosen by the charity in calculating the average value of the property. While the examples address this by pointing out that the charity can choose the number of periods within each 24 month period, the guidelines do not address situations that involve less than a full fiscal period. For instance, in the second example on page 28 of T4033B and page 25 of T4033-1, the charity did not exist at December 31, 2003 (or 2008) and was incorporated at some point during 2004 (or 2009). The average value of its assets is \$50,000, being the total of \$100,000 at December 31, 2004 (or 2009) divided by two 12 month periods. The example seems to presuppose that the value as of December 31, 2004 (or 2009) represents a full 12 month period, as opposed to the first fiscal period after incorporation, which could be less than 12 months. The same issue arises in determining the average value at December 31, 2005 (or 2010), since there may not necessary have been a full 12 month period ending on December 31, 2004 (or 2009).

19. Page 28 of T4033B states the disbursement quota is intended to ensure that most of the funds are used to further the charity’s charitable purposes and activities, to encourage registered charities not to accumulate excessive funds and to keep other expenses at a reasonable level. This must be deleted from T4033-1, as a result of the changes in the 2010 Budget. CRA acknowledges that funds can be accumulated, subject to the disbursement quota and other specific rules in the *Income Tax Act*.
20. Lines 4180 and 4505 in Schedule 6 to T3010-1 refer to 10 year gifts. These appear to be unnecessary for fiscal years ending after March 4, 2010, when the concept of 10 year gifts is no longer relevant. The CBA Section is concerned that this disclosure may be required to identify assets the income from which cannot be spent as a result of restrictions that may not qualify as 10 year gifts under the previous definition. It would be helpful if CRA made a positive statement in T4033-1 about the ability to accumulate funds, subject to the requirements in the *Income Tax Act*, including compliance with the new disbursement quota rules, following the repeal of the more complicated disbursement quota rules and the former “80-20” concept.

21. It would be helpful if the T3010 contained a specific question asking whether any specified gifts or enduring property had been received in fiscal years ending prior to March 4, 2010, if CRA expects this information to be disclosed.
22. Schedule T1236, dealing with gifts to qualified donees, contains a blank space, in which we understand details will be added about designated gifts. As a result of the 2010 Budget, T3010-1 does not refer to specified gifts, but also does not refer to designated gifts. Clear guidance would be helpful on how the disclosure of designated gifts is to be addressed and whether CRA will require disclosure about amounts that were previously treated as enduring property, specified gifts or otherwise treated differently under T3010B and T4033B for fiscal years ending prior to March 4, 2010.

III. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE T3010 RETURN AND T4033 GUIDE

The CBA Section recommends that:

1. The dual purpose of the T3010 return should be reconsidered.
2. The basis for disclosure of information to the public should be reconsidered.
3. The distinction between public and confidential information should be clarified.
4. Sanctions for failure to provide all of the information should be clarified if the return continues to perform a dual function.
5. Specific timelines should be established in the *Income Tax Act* or by CRA policy to deal with the information in the T3010 return, particularly where there are potential penalties or other sanctions.
6. Current information on file with CRA about objects and approved activities should be provided to the charity by CRA.
7. CRA should clarify its views on the circumstances in which it expects (or requires) charities to seek advance approval for changes in activities or programs.
8. The terminology in the return should be made more consistent.

9. The reasons for requiring information in the return should be reconsidered, particularly for expenditures and information about executive compensation;
10. More specific comments should be added in T3010 and T4033 dealing with sponsorships, gaming and other lottery revenues and expenses.
11. CRA should clarify its views on the circumstances in which an inactive charity, whether it has been active or not, will be required to request revocation of its registration.
12. There should be an exemption in appropriate circumstances, in the discretion of CRA, from the requirement to disclose the names of third party recipients.
13. CRA should clarify its comments on allocating “total time and resources” among various activities and programs, taking into account potential disparity between time, on the one hand, and resources, on the other.
14. The commentary in T4033 should be coordinated with the comments in the guidance on fundraising and the guidance on foreign activities (and when it is issued, the similar guidance on the use of intermediaries domestically).
15. CRA should provide more detailed instructions on the disclosure of designated gifts in form T1236.
16. CRA should recognize in T4033 that in some cases it will be impossible for a charity to determine whether a non-resident donor of more than \$10,000 is a resident of Canada, carries on business in Canada, or has disposed of taxable Canadian property.
17. CRA should state in T4033 that charities can accumulate funds, subject to meeting the disbursement quota and otherwise complying with the *Income Tax Act*, despite the fact that form T3010 no longer refers to 10 year gifts, enduring property or other terms repealed by the 2010 Budget;
18. CRA should acknowledge the concerns about timeliness of the review of information set out in the T3010 return, notwithstanding that no formal assessment is issued under the *Income Tax Act* on a T3010;
19. Other ancillary changes should be made in the T3010 form and the T4033, consistent with the themes throughout these comments.

IV. CONCLUSION

The T3010 form should be clarified. Its terminology should be made more consistent. Policies behind certain disclosure requirements, and the requirements themselves, should be reconsidered. The CBA Section believes that this would enable registered charities to better meet their reporting requirements, and enable CRA to better manage its monitoring and enforcement mandate.